

Action Items From October 29, 2009 Water Quality Standards Workgroup Meeting

Issue	Action	Completed
1. Requested feedback on proposed change in beneficial use for Virgin River.	Request feedback prior to the proposing change to WQ Board. The change is in the mark-up from the previous rule.	X
2. DWQ didn't discuss last few proposed nonsubstantive changes at meeting.	Request that the workgroup review the changes in their review of the standards and reply with any questions or comments via e-mail.	X
2.5 Do additional waters need to be reclassified as primary contact recreation?	DWQ will compile lists submitted in the last triennial review and continue dialogue with stakeholders so that appropriate changes can be made through the next triennial review.	
3. Should 2A beneficial use be added to rivers because of stream access court ruling?	DWQ to evaluate adding 2A classifications and reporting back to WQS Workgroup.	
4. Antidegradation Review (ADR). Do all general permits qualify as <i>de minimis</i> ?	DWQ to evaluate whether all general permits should qualify as <i>de minimis</i> and report back to WQS Workgroup.	
5. ADR. How much of implementation guidance should be put in rule? Consider adding flowing diagram for process in guidance or rule.	Develop sub-workgroups to develop implementation guidance. Consult with WQS Workgroup when implementation guidance available and what should be in rule and what should be published as policy guidance.	

6. ADR. Revise definition for "existing use" to match federal language.	DWQ to revise and email to WQS Workgroup. The definition was changed in R-317-1 and DWQ requests that the workgroup respond with additional comments via e-mail prior to the November WQB meeting.	X
7. ADR. Should parameters of concern be limited to analytes with standard methods? What contaminants should be considered?	DWQ to evaluate with workgroups and report back to WQS Workgroup.	
8. ADR. Is there a "materiality" level where water quality will not be degraded?	DWQ to follow-up with Merrit.	
8.1 ADR. Should a level II ADR specify net environmental costs and benefits?	DWQ will evaluate with workgroups and report back to the workgroup.	
8.9 ADR. Workgroup agreed to table the sweeping ADR changes until implementation plans could be developed and focus on the changes actually needed to fix the problems associated with EPA's disapproval the previous submission.	DWQ will make 3 changes to the rule: 1) refine the Level II exclusion that defines an increase in pollution, 2) delete the de minimis exclusions based on the loss of assimilative capacity, and 3) included a statement that the Executive Secretary will develop and implementation and review strategy. Draft language was created to address this request and is included in the mark-ups that were forwarded to the workgroup. DWQ requests comments, questions, or suggestions for edits via e-mail.	X
9. Wetlands. Should footnote apply disqualifying DO levels apply to 3C and 3D wetlands?	DWQ to evaluate. DWQ specified that the change applies to all impounded wetlands of the Great Salt Lake and defined such wetlands in R317-1-1. These changes are reflected in the mark-up and comments are requested via e-mail prior to the November WQB Meeting.	X
10. Wetlands. Does the rule need to specify how the beneficial uses of wetlands protected without DO or pH	Discuss with EPA how beneficial uses are protected. After consultation with EPA, DWQ added additional	

numeric standards?	<p>language to the footnote to explicitly specify that the change will not allow degradation of beneficial uses.</p> <p>DWQ is finalizing a report that provides specifics on how this will be accomplished. This report will be forwarded to the workgroup when it is complete and will be included as part of the standards change packet.</p>	
11. Wetlands. Wetlands should be defined.	<p>DWQ to propose definitions to WQS Workgroup. Draft language is now included in the mark-up of R-317-1-1.</p>	X
12. Narrative Standards. Revision of the Narrative Standards should be delayed until next triennial review.	<p>Revision of Narrative Standards to be delayed. A sub-workgroup will be formed to devise language for these changes.</p>	
13. Narrative Standards. Should narrative standards include appearance, flavor, and odor for fish?	<p>DWQ to consider through the process of the revisions to other parts of the narrative to be evaluated in the next triennial review.</p>	
14. Convene subgroups to concentrate on specific WQS issues.	<p>Follow up with email to solicit participants.</p>	
Aquatic life (DO, temperature, and narrative criteria)		
Antidegradation	(Reed, Merrit, Leland, Marty)	
Agriculture (TDS, SAR, sulfate, Beneficial Use Classes)	(Farm Bureau)	